

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 STATE 297459 TOSEC 320011

61

ORIGIN NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 R

66011

DRAFTED BY: S/S:PSEBASTIAN

APPROVED BY: S/S:SEBASTIAN

----- 032844

O 071944Z DEC 76 ZFF4

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USDEL SECRETARY IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T STATE 297459 TOSEC 320011

NODIS

FOLLOWING REPEAT GENEVA 9724 ACTION SECSTATE DTD 07 DEC

QTE

S E C R E T GENEVA 9724

NODIS

FOR AMB SCHAUFLE FROM WISNER

DEPT PASS LONDON FOR SEITZ

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: PFOR RH UK

SUBJ: RHODESIA CONFERENCE: MEETING WITH PARTRIDGE DEC 7

1. AT HIS REQUEST, I CALLED ON MARK PARTRIDGE THIS MORN-
ING. PARTRIDGE WAS ACCOMPANIED BY CHARLES WADDINGTON,
THE RHODESIAN SOLICITOR GENERAL.

2. PARTRIDGE TOLD ME THAT SMITH WILL ARRIVE IN GENEVA
TOMORROW DEC 8. HE ASKED THAT I NOT PASS THIS INFORMATION
TO THE BRITISH.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 297459 TOSEC 320011

3. PARTRIDGE RAISED THE QUESTION OF THE RHODESIAN

DELEGATION PAPER (GENEVA 9709) AND SAID THAT GIVEN THEIR COMMITMENT NOT TO DISCUSS ANNEX C IN ANY FORM WITHOUT PRIOR CONSULTATION WITH US, HE ASKED IF I HAD ANY DIFFICULTY WITH THE DOCUMENT. I TOLD PARTRIDGE I COULD NOT OBTAIN A REACTION UNLESS HE WAS PREPARED TO GIVE ME THE DOCUMENT. HE SAID HE WOULD CHECK WITH THE PRIME MINISTER WHO HAD ASKED THAT IT BE HELD UNTIL HE ARRIVED IN GENEVA. PARTRIDGE POINTED OUT THAT THE RHODESIANS DID NOT EXPECT US TO SUPPORT THE CONTENTS OF THE PAPER; SIMPLY, THEY WISHED TO BE ASSURED THAT WE WOULD NOT BE EMBARRASSED BY THEIR TABLING IT.

4. I ASKED PARTRIDGE HOW HE THOUGHT SMITH WOULD USE SUCH A DOCUMENT. PARTRIDGE REPLIED THERE WERE TWO OPTIONS. FIRST, THE RHODESIANS COULD RESTATE FLATLY THE FIVE POINTS AND ASK IF THE DELEGATIONS IN PLENARY WERE PREPARED TO USE THESE POINTS AS A BASIS OF A SETTLEMENT. HE ANTICIPATED AN EXPLOSION BUT AT LEAST THIS WOULD CLEAR THE AIR AND THE RHODESIANS WOULD BE ABLE TO SAY THEY HAD TRIED TO LIVE BY THEIR "CONTRACT." ALTERNATELY, THEY COULD TABLE THEIR REWRITING OF THE FIVE POINTS AND ANNEX C AND SHOW THEREBY THEY WERE PARTICIPATING IN THE NEGOTIATIONS IN GOOD FAITH. PARTRIDGE AND WADDINGTON ARE INCLINED TO ARGUE FOR THE SECOND OPTION WHEN THEY MEET SMITH BUT RECOGNIZED THAT THEIR DOCUMENT IS NOT LIKELY TO BE RECEIVED FAVORABLY. THEY TOLD ME THAT DECISION ON TACTICS WOULD BE MADE BY SMITH AND HE HAD GIVEN NO INDICATION HOW HE WISHED TO PROCEED.

5. I ASKED PARTRIDGE IF THE RHODESIANS HAD THOUGHT FURTHER ABOUT A BRITISH PRESENCE. PARTRIDGE REPLIED THE RHODESIAN POSITION HAD NOT CHANGED AND THEY AGREE WITH MUGABE, NKOMO AND SITHOLE THAT A BRITISH PRESENCE SHOULD BE NO MORE THAN SYMBOLIC AND NO MORE THAT WOULD PERMIT BRITAIN TO DISCHARGE ITS FORMAL DECOLONIZATION RESPONSIBILITIES. IF MUGABE THOUGHT THAT SENIOR BRITISH OFFICERS COULD REPLACE RHODESIANS, THE RHODESIAN GOVERNMENT HAD NO SUCH THOUGHT IN MIND.

6. SUMMING UP HIS OWN VIEWS ON THE STATE OF THE CON-
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 297459 TOSEC 320011

REFERENCE, PARTRIDGE SAID THAT HE WAS MOST PESSIMISTIC ABOUT THE OUTCOME. THE BEHAVIOR OF MUGABE HAD CONVINCED HIM THAT IF MUGABE AND HIS BAND JOIN AN INTERIM GOVERNMENT, THEY WILL DRIVE TO SET UP A MARXIST STATE. "THERE WILL BE NO FUTURE FOR EUROPEANS OR THE WEST IN RHODESIA." ELECTIONS WOULD NOT TAKE PLACE, MODERATES LIKE MUZOREWA WOULD GRADUALLY DISAPPEAR AND THE BRITISH WOULD "SLIDE OUT OF THE PICTURE" LIKE THE PORTUGUESE IN

MOZAMBIQUE AND ANGOLA.

7. PARTRIDGE CONTINUED, HARSHLY CRITICIZING BRITISH CONDUCT OF THE GENEVA CONFERENCE. THE FUNDAMENTAL FLAW IN THE BRITISH STRATEGY, PARTRIDGE CLAIMED, WAS THAT THE BRITISH HAD TRIED TO OBTAIN A CONSENSUS ON EVERY ISSUE FROM ALL DELEGATIONS PRESENT. IN SO DOING, THE BRITISH HAD MADE FUNDAMENTAL POLITICAL AND TACTICAL MISTAKES. FIRST, THEY OVERLOOKED THE FACT THAT RHODESIA IS A SOVEREIGN NATION. EVEN IF IT IS CHARACTERIZED AS ILLEGAL, RHODESIA HAS STANDING AND THE GOVERNMENT IN SALISBURY HAS EFFECTIVE CONTROL OF THE COUNTRY. SECOND, IN VIEW OF THAT FACT, THERE WAS NO LEGAL BASIS FOR BRITAIN NEGOTIATING WITH THE NATIONALISTS NOR WAS IT POLITICALLY REALISTIC TO ASSUME THAT EXTREMISTS LIKE MUGABE COULD BE BROUGHT INTO A REASONABLE SETTLEMENT. THIRD, WHATEVER CONSENSUS THE BRITISH WERE TRYING TO REACH IN GENEVA FAILED TO RECOGNIZE THE FACT THAT ALL RHODESIANS WERE NOT PROPERLY REPRESENTED HERE. NEITHER THE CHIEFS, THE TRIBES, NOR MODERATE BLACK RHODESIAN OPINION WAS BEING ASKED TO PASS JUDGMENT ON A CONSENSUS. FOURTH, ACHIEVING A CONSENSUS IN THE LONG RUN MAKES NO SENSE. IF ELECTIONS ARE TO BE HELD, ONE PARTY WILL WIN AND THE OTHERS WILL LOSE. NO MATTER HOW MANY PARTIES PARTICIPATE IN DEVELOPING THE CONSENSUS IN THE OUTSET, IN THE FINAL ANALYSIS THE PARTY WHICH CARRIES THE ELECTION WILL HAVE A MAJOR VOICE IN DECIDING THE FUTURE.

8. IF GENEVA FAILS, PARTRIDGE CONTINUED, IT WAS HIS PERSONAL JUDGMENT THAT SMITH COULD SEEK TO STRIKE A PRIVATE BARGAIN WITH MUZOREWA. WADDINGTON ADMITTED THAT HE HAD HAD EXTENSIVE BUT QUIET DEALINGS WITH THE
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 STATE 297459 TOSEC 320011

MUZOREWA DELEGATION IN THE PAST 10 DAYS. WHILE HE WAS ONLY EXPRESSING A PERSONAL POINT OF VIEW, PARTRIDGE SAID THAT ELECTIONS COULD BE CALLED AND MUZOREWA WOULD HAVE THE BEST CHANCE TO WIN THOSE ELECTIONS. AN INTERIM GOVERNMENT WITH A COUNCIL OF STATE WOULD BE SET UP AND A CONSTITUTION NEGOTIATED. FROM THE RHODESIAN POINT OF VIEW, THERE ARE MANY PROBLEMS WITH THIS SOLUTION. MUZOREWA'S PEOPLE HAVE INDICATED TO THE RHODESIANS THAT THEY WOULD REQUIRE AN EARLY REPEAL OF ALL DISCRIMINATORY LAWS, PARTICULARLY LAND AND HOUSE OWNERSHIP DISCRIMINATION. PARTRIDGE AGREED A REPEAL OF SUCH LAWS IS NECESSARY; THEIR REPEAL CANNOT BE EXECUTED QUICKLY. RHODESIANS HAD DIFFICULTIES WITH MUZOREWA'S FORMULA FOR SELECTING THE INTERIM GOVERNMENT PRIME MINISTER ON THE BASIS OF UNIVERSAL SUFFRAGE.

IF THEY AGREED WITH MUZOREWA, THEY WOULD CONCEDE IN ADVANCE A POINT THAT THEY WISH TO RESERVE FOR CONSTITUTIONAL DISCUSSIONS. IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN PART OF RHODESIAN THINKING, PARTRIDGE REMINDED ME, THAT THERE BE A QUALIFIED FRANCHISE. PARTRIDGE ADMITTED THAT MUZOREWA WAS NERVOUS ABOUT ENTERING INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE SMITH GOVERNMENT. HE IS NOT UNINTERESTED, BUT GIVEN THE FACT THAT HE DOES NOT COMMAND ANY GUERRILLA SUPPORT, HE WOULD NEED SOME ASSURANCE FROM THE US AND GREAT BRITAIN THAT WE WOULD SUPPORT HIM. MOREOVER, MEMBERS OF MUZOREWA'S DELEGATION HAD TOLD WADDINGTON THEY WERE CONCERNED THAT A COUNCIL OF STATE WOULD GIVE EUROPEANS A VETO OVER THE CONSTITUTION MIGHT RESULT IN THE SITUATION IN WHICH NO CONSTITUTION WAS DEVELOPED BY THE TIME INDEPENDENCE CAME DUE. MUZOREWA WOULD THEN BE CAUGHT HOLDING THE BAG AND HIS POLITICAL SUPPORT WOULD SUFFER.

9. WADDINGTON SAID THERE MIGHT BE A WAY TO SOLVE THIS CONCERN. IT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE TO AGREE THAT IN THE EVENT OF A DEADLOCK OVER THE CONSTITUTION, THE BRITISH WOULD TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR DRAFTING A CONSTITUTION WHICH THE RHODESIAN PARTIES WOULD THEN ACCEPT. THE DIFFICULTY, WADDINGTON POINTED OUT, IS THAT WHITE RHODESIANS DO NOT TRUST THE BRITISH TO WRITE A FAIR CONSTITUTION. HE ASSURED ME THAT HIS NOTION WAS
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 05 STATE 297459 TOSEC 320011

PURELY PERSONAL AND HAD NOT BEEN DISCUSSED WITHIN THE GOVERNMENT OR WITH SMITH.

10. I TOLD PARTRIDGE AND WADDINGTON THAT I COULD SEE MANY REASONS FOR MUZOREWA NOT GOING ALONG WITH A BILATERAL BARGAIN AND GAVE THEM NO ENCOURAGEMENT THAT WE FOUND THE IDEA APPEALING. I ACCEPTED THEIR DESCRIPTION OF A MUZOREWA SETTLEMENT AS AN INFORMAL DESCRIPTION OF WHAT THEY HAD IN MIND AND NOTHING MORE.

11. COMMENT: PARTRIDGE AND WADDINGTON'S DISCUSSION OF CONVERSATIONS WITH MUZOREWA ARE THE FIRST CONFIRMATION WE HAVE HAD THAT PRIVATE TALKS ARE GOING ON. MUZOREWA'S RESERVATIONS ABOUT THE CONSTITUTION AND WHITE ABILITY TO BLOCK AN ACCEPTABLE CONSTITUTION ARE EXACTLY THE SAME AS NKOMO'S (GENEVA 9664). A DEADLOCK OVER THE CONSTITUTION IS ONE OF THE REASONS THE NATIONALISTS ARE OPPOSED TO THE COUNCIL OF SAUWADDINGTON'S SUGGESTION THAT THE BRITISH COULD BE USED TO BREAK THE DEADLOCK IS INTRIGUING A Y PROVIDE AN ADDITIONAL ELEMENT IN A PACKAGE OF BRITISH RESPONSIBILITIES.
CATTO UNQTE ROBINSON

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: CAT-B, DIPLOMATIC COMMUNICATIONS, PROGRESS REPORTS, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 07 DEC 1976
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: saccheem
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976STATE297459
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: S/S:PSEBASTIAN
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Film Number: N760009-0108
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 2
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19761265/aaaaceae.tel
Line Count: 223
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN NODS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: NODIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: NODIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: saccheem
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 14 MAY 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <14 MAY 2004 by woolflhd>; APPROVED <07 SEP 2004 by saccheem>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: LOCK1
Status: NATIVE
Subject: RHODESIA CONFERENCE: MEETING WITH PARTRIDGE DEC 7
TAGS: PFOR, PDEV, RH, UK, ZA, (CHONA, MARK)
To: SECRETARY LONDON
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006